



## Division of Capital Asset Management Standard Contractor Evaluation Form

**IMPORTANT** – Please check (✓) if this evaluation is for:

Prime/General Contractor

Subcontractor

**Section I- General Project Information - Section I must be completed in its entirety.**

Reference Name: <b>Steve Jesus</b>	Reference Telephone #: <b>9289528034</b>
Reference Position/ Title: <b>Pres.</b>	Date: <b>11-28-11</b>
Reference Agency/ Firm: <b>VANGUARD Const Co Inc</b>	DCAM Interviewer (if any):
Name of Contractor Being Evaluated: <b>KING PAINTING</b>	Contract Cost for Contractor Being Evaluated Including Change Orders: \$ <b>52,400</b> (if change order amount unknown for subcontractor then estimate as 5% of subcontract amount)
Project Title: <b>155 North St Ft. Leovigandus State Univ.</b>	Contract Start/ End Dates: <b>8-1-10 / 12-1-10</b>
Scope of Work:	Actual Completion Date: <b>12-1-10</b>
Project Location (city and state):	

\*\*\*\*\***Important**\*\*\*\*\*

- Please check (✓) if this is a :
  - Preliminary Evaluation (50% complete); or
  - Final Evaluation (once use and/or occupancy, or issuance of a certificate of use and/or occupancy, or contract termination have been achieved, whichever is earlier)
- Please check (✓) if:
  - The project was estimated to be greater than \$1.5M dollars and required an Owner's Project Manager.
- Did the contractor execute this project using their own employees? Yes  No

## Section II- Evaluation Questionnaire

Please rate this contractor's performance in each of the following areas. If you need additional space, attach additional sheets. If you rate the contractor below "satisfactory" in any area, please provide detailed information to explain the rating assigned. You are not restricted to using the numerical values (points) shown and may score in between the points shown. A total of 80 points are required for a passing grade.

### 1. Quality of Workmanship (0-28 points)

Carry over points

here ↓

Rate the quality of this contractor's workmanship. Were there quality-related or workmanship problems on the contract? Was the contractor responsive to remedial work required? If so or if not, provide specific examples.

unacceptable   
0 points

poor   
14 points

satisfactory   
24 points

very good   
26 points

excellent   
28 points

comments: \_\_\_\_\_

→ 28 points

### 2. Project Management

a) **Scheduling-** (0-13 points) Rate this contractor's performance with regard to adhering to contract schedules. Did this contractor meet the contract schedule or the schedule as revised by approved change orders? If not, was the delay attributable to this contractor? If so, provide specific examples.

unacceptable   
0 points

poor   
7 points

satisfactory   
11 points

very good   
12 points

excellent   
13 points

comments: \_\_\_\_\_

→ 13 points

b) **Subcontractor Management-** (0-13 points) Rate this contractor's ability, effort and success in managing and coordinating subcontractors (if no subcontractors, rate this contractor's overall project management). Was this contractor able to effectively resolve problems? If not, provide specific examples.

unacceptable   
0 points

poor   
6 points

satisfactory   
11 points

very good   
12 points

excellent   
13 points

comments: \_\_\_\_\_

→ 13 points



c) **Safety and Housekeeping Procedures-** (0-9 points) Rate this contractor's safety and housekeeping procedures on this project. Were there any OSHA violations or serious safety accidents? If so, provide specific examples.

unacceptable  0 points      poor  3 points      satisfactory  7 points      very good  8 points      excellent  9 points

comments: \_\_\_\_\_

→ 9 points

d) **Change Orders-** (0-9 points) Did this contractor unreasonably claim change orders or extras? Were this contractor's prices on change orders and extras reasonable? If not, provide specific examples.

unacceptable  0 points      poor  3 points      satisfactory  7 points      very good  8 points      excellent  9 points

comments: \_\_\_\_\_

→ 9 points

e) **Working Relationships-** (0-7 points) Rate this contractor's working relationships with other parties (i.e. Awarding Authority, designer, subcontractors, etc.). Did this contractor relate to other parties in a professional manner? If not, give specific examples.

unacceptable  0 points      poor  2 points      satisfactory  5 points      very good  6 points      excellent  7 points

comments: \_\_\_\_\_

→ 7 points

f) **Paperwork Processing-** (0-7 points) Rate this contractor's performance in completing and submitting required project paperwork (i.e. change orders, submittals, drawings, requisitions, payrolls, workforce reports, etc.). Did the contractor submit the required paperwork promptly and in proper form? If not, provide specific examples.

unacceptable  0 points      poor  2 points      satisfactory  5 points      very good  6 points      excellent  7 points

comments: \_\_\_\_\_

→ 7 points

**3. On-Site Supervisory Personnel Rating (0-14 points)**

a) **General Performance-** Rate the general performance of this contractor's on-site supervisory personnel. Did the superintendent(s) have the knowledge, management skills and experience to run a project of this size and scope? If not, provide specific examples.

unacceptable   
0 points

poor   
3 points

satisfactory   
10 points

very good   
12 points

excellent   
14 points

comments: \_\_\_\_\_

→ 14 points

**Please add up all points from this page and the preceding pages and enter total here:** 100

**Section III- Legal and Administrative Proceedings**

Are you aware of any legal or administrative proceedings, invoked bonds, assessed damages, demands for direct payment, payment bond claims, contract failures, contract terminations, or penalties involving this contractor on this contract? What is the status of any pending litigation? What was the final outcome of any completed litigation? What are the dollar amounts of assessed damages or penalties?

comments: ND



## Section IV- Evaluator Certification

I hereby certify that the information contained in this evaluation form represents, to the best of my knowledge, a true analysis of this contractor's performance record on this contract and that this Project Evaluation and Project Rating was not the subject of any negotiation, close-out, or settlement of the subject project or related thereto and that no compensation or consideration (either financial or additional work on this project or otherwise) was given to the awarding authority or me in connection with its preparation or the opinions contained herein.

I also certify that I have no ties with this contractor either through a business or family relationship.

I have mailed a copy of this completed evaluation form to the contractor on 11-28-11  
(Public Awarding Authorities must mail a copy of this completed evaluation form to the contractor).

For Public Projects below \$1,500,000, this form must be completed and signed by the Awarding Authority and may also be signed by the Owner's Representative (i.e. Architect/Designer) in conjunction with the Awarding Authority:

[Signature]  
Signature of Awarding Authority

Steve Jones  
Print Name and Title

11-28-11  
Date

\_\_\_\_\_  
Signature of Awarding Authority's Representative  
(optional)

\_\_\_\_\_  
Print Name and Title

\_\_\_\_\_  
Date

For Public Projects \$1,500,000 or greater, this form must be signed by the Owner's (Awarding Authority's) Project Manager and the Awarding Authority pursuant to M.G.L., c. 149, § 44A 1/2:

\_\_\_\_\_  
Signature of Owner's (Awarding Authority's)  
Project Manager

\_\_\_\_\_  
Print Name and Title

\_\_\_\_\_  
Date

\_\_\_\_\_  
Signature of Awarding Authority

\_\_\_\_\_  
Print Name and Title

\_\_\_\_\_  
Date

## Section V- Additional Comments

Comments: Excellent Contractor!