

Division of Capital Asset Management Standard Contractor Evaluation Form

Section I- General Project Information - Section I must be completed in its entirety.

Reference Name: <u>Kimberly Joyce</u>	Reference Telephone #: <u>508-429-8666 x229</u>
Reference Position/ Title: <u>Project Manager</u>	Date: <u>12/15/11</u>
Reference Agency/ Firm: <u>Colantonio, Inc.</u>	DCAM Interviewer (if any):
Name of Contractor Being Evaluated: <u>King Painting</u>	Contract Cost Including Change Orders: \$ <u>145,112</u>
	Contract Start/ End Dates: <u>7/31/10 - 10/31/11</u>
Project Title: <u>MYRTA Parking Garage Haverhill, MA</u>	Actual Completion Date: <u>9/30/11</u>
Scope of Work: <u>Painting</u>	
Project Location (city and state):	

- **Did the contractor execute this project using their own employees?** Yes No

Section II- Evaluation Questionnaire

Please rate this contractor's performance in each of the following areas. If you need additional space, attach additional sheets. If you rate the contractor below "satisfactory" in any area, please provide detailed information to explain the rating assigned. You are not restricted to using the numerical values (points) shown and may score in between the points shown. A total of 80 points are required for a passing grade.

1. Quality of Workmanship (0-28 points)

Carry over points
here ↓

Rate the quality of this contractor's workmanship. Were there quality-related or workmanship problems on the contract? If so, provide specific examples.

unacceptable poor satisfactory very good excellent
 0 points 14 points 24 points 26 points 28 points

→ 26 points

comments:

2. Project Management

- a) **Scheduling-** (0-13 points) Rate this contractor's performance with regard to adhering to contract schedules. Did this contractor meet the contract schedule or the schedule as revised by approved change orders? If not, was the delay attributable to this contractor? If so, provide specific examples.

unacceptable
0 points

poor
7 points

satisfactory
11 points

very good
12 points

excellent
13 points

→ 13 points

comments:

- b) **Subcontractor Management-** (0-13 points) Rate this contractor's ability, effort and success in managing and coordinating subcontractors (if no subcontractors, rate this contractor's overall project management). Was this contractor able to effectively resolve problems? If not, provide specific examples.

unacceptable
0 points

poor
6 points

satisfactory
11 points

very good
12 points

excellent
13 points

→ 13 points

comments:

- c) **Safety and Housekeeping Procedures-** (0-9 points) Rate this contractor's safety and housekeeping procedures on this project. Were there any OSHA violations or serious safety accidents? If so, provide specific examples.

unacceptable
0 points

poor
3 points

satisfactory
7 points

very good
8 points

excellent
9 points

→ 9 points

comments:

- d) **Change Orders-** (0-9 points) Did this contractor unreasonably claim change orders or extras? Were this contractor's prices on change orders and extras reasonable? If not, provide specific examples.

unacceptable
0 points

poor
3 points

satisfactory
7 points

very good
8 points

excellent
9 points

→ 9 points

comments:

e) **Working Relationships-** (0-7 points) Rate this contractor's working relationships with other parties (i.e. owner, designer, subcontractors, etc.). Did this contractor relate to other parties in a professional manner? If not, give specific examples.

unacceptable 0 points poor 2 points satisfactory 5 points very good 6 points excellent 7 points

→ 7 points

comments:

f) **Paperwork Processing-** (0-7 points) Rate this contractor's performance in completing and submitting required project paperwork (i.e. change orders, submittals, drawings, requisitions, payrolls, workforce reports, etc.). Did the contractor submit the required paperwork promptly and in proper form? If not, provide specific examples.

unacceptable 0 points poor 2 points satisfactory 5 points very good 6 points excellent 7 points

→ 6 points

comments:

3. On-Site Supervisory Personnel Rating (0-14 points)

a) **General Performance-** Rate the general performance of this contractor's on-site supervisory personnel. Did the superintendent(s) have the knowledge, management skills and experience to run a project of this size and scope? If not, provide specific examples.

unacceptable 0 points poor 3 points satisfactory 10 points very good 12 points excellent 14 points

→ 14 points

comments:

Please add up all points from this page and the preceding pages and enter total here: 94

Section III- Legal and Administrative Proceedings

Are you aware of any legal or administrative proceedings, invoked bonds, assessed damages, demands for direct payment, payment bond claims, contract failures, contract terminations, or penalties involving this contractor on this contract? What is the status of any pending litigation? What was the final outcome of any completed litigation? What are the dollar amounts of assessed damages or penalties?

comments:

No

Section IV- Evaluator Certification

I certify that the information contained in this evaluation form represents, to the best of my knowledge, a true analysis of this contractor's performance record on this contract.

I also certify that I have no ties with this contractor either through a business or family relationship.

I have mailed a copy of this completed evaluation form to the contractor on 12/15/11
(public awarding authorities must mail a copy of this completed evaluation form to the contractor).

Kimberly B Joyce
Signature

12/15/11
Date

Section VI- Additional Comments

Comments:
